THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

		•	
RUTH V. BRIGGS		: : Civil Action No. 16-0248	
Plaintiff,		:	
V.		: :	
		:	
TEMPLE UNIVERSIT	Y	· : :	
Defendant.		:	
<u>D</u>	EFENDANT'S PRO	POSED VERDICT FORM	
Defendant Temp	le University submits	the following Proposed Verdict Form:	
WE, the jury in	the above-entitled a	ction, find the following verdict on the questions	
submitted to us:			
Question 1:			
Has Ms. Briggs proved position as Executive A		e of the evidence, that she was qualified for her	
Yes	No		
If you answered	"Yes" to this question	, please proceed to Question 2.	
If you answered	"No" to this question,	, please proceed to Question 6.	
Question 2:			
Has Ms. Briggs proved, her employment?	by a preponderance of	of the evidence, that Temple University terminated	
Yes	No		
If you answered	"Yes" to this auestion	n. please proceed to Ouestion 3	

If	^r you answered "N	No" to this question	, please proceed to Question 6.
Question	3:		
		y a preponderance ated her employmen	e of the evidence, that her age was the only reasonnt?
	Yes	No	
If	fyou answered "Y	Ves" to this question	n, please proceed to Question 6.
If	⁽ you answered "N	No" to this question	, please proceed to Question 4.
Question	<u> 4:</u>		
	nation complaint t		nce of the evidence, that her April 1, 2014 ages the only reason Temple University terminated her
	Yes	No	
If	fyou answered "Y	Ves" to this question	n, please proceed to Question 6.
If	^f you answered "N	No" to this question	a, please proceed to Question 5.
Question	<u>ı 5:</u>		
	Briggs proved, by oyment because of		of the evidence, that Temple University terminated
	Yes	No	
P	Please proceed to	Question 6.	

Question	<u>6:</u>				
	Briggs proved, by age or gender-rela	a preponderance of the ted harassment?	e evidence, that	she experienced	severe or
	Yes	No			
Pi	ease proceed to Qu	uestion 7 only if you ans	wered "Yes" to Q	Question 3 or 4.	
Pi	ease proceed to Qu	uestion 9 only if you ans	wered "Yes" to Q	Question 5 or 6.	
de	· ·	wer "Yes" to Question vou must sign and da		•	-
Question	<u>7:</u>				
		a preponderance of the in intentional age discri			
			Yes	No	
to di in U re	Ms. Briggs as scrimination. Whe come Ms. Briggs niversity and wage asonable and dilig	destion 7 is "Yes," state a result of Temple are calculating the amount actually earned follow as you determine that Magent efforts to find other is "No," write "0" in the control of the	University engount of lost wag ing the end of lost. Briggs could how work, as she w	aging in intenti ges, you must sucher employment of ave earned if she	onal age btract the at Temple had used

Please proceed to Question 8 only if your answer to Question 3 or 4 was "Yes."

Case 2:16-cv-00248-RK Document 50 Filed 07/10/18 Page 4 of 4

Question 8:		
Has Ms. Briggs proved, by a preponderance of the evider willful misconduct by intentionally discriminating againgainst her because of her April 1, 2014 complaint to Sand	nst her becaus	, ,
	Yes	No
Please proceed to Question 9.		
Question 9:		
Has Ms. Briggs proved, by a preponderance of the evidence	ce, pain and suf	fering damages?
	Yes	No
If your answer to Question 9 is "Yes," state the an any, sustained by Ms. Briggs. If your answer to space below.		
	\$	
Foreperson's Signature:		
Date:	_	